

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

1. Backgrounds

The Standard Chartered Group (SCB Group or the Group) is an international banking and financial services group particularly focused on the markets of Asia, Africa and the Middle East. Standard Chartered Bank is regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and Prudential Regulation Authority in the United Kingdom (UK).

SCB India (SCBI or the Bank) is a branch of Standard Chartered Bank UK, which is part of the SCB Group. The ultimate parent company of the Bank is Standard Chartered PLC, which is listed on the London Stock Exchange and the Stock Exchanges of Hong Kong and India. Indian branch operations are conducted in accordance with the banking license granted by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) under the Banking Regulation Act 1949.

2. Overview

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision published a framework for International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards (commonly referred to as Basel II), which replaced the original 1988 Basel I Accord. The RBI adopted the same in March 2008. The Basel III implementation schedule for India has commenced from 1 April 2013 and is phased in through to 31 March 2019. Accordingly, for 30 June 2016 reporting purposes, the Bank has calculated its Pillar 1 capital requirement based on Basel III norms.

Basel II/III is structured around three “pillars” which are outlined below:

- Pillar 1 sets out minimum regulatory capital requirements – the minimum amount of regulatory capital banks must hold against the risks they assume;
- Pillar 2 sets out the key principles for supervisory review of a bank’s risk management framework and its capital adequacy. It sets out specific oversight responsibilities for the Board and senior management, thus reinforcing principles of internal control and other corporate governance practices; and
- Pillar 3 aims to bolster market discipline through enhanced disclosure by banks.

Basel II/III provides three approaches of increasing sophistication to the calculation of credit risk capital; the Standardised Approach (SA), the Foundation Internal Ratings Based Approach and the Advanced Internal Ratings Based Approach (IRB). Basel II also introduced capital requirements for operational risk (OR) for the first time.

3. DF 1 - Scope of Application

Name of the head of the banking group to which the framework applies: Standard Chartered Bank India Branches

DF 1 - Qualitative Disclosures

3.1. Pillar 1

The SCB Group and local management of the Indian operations recognise that Basel II/III is a driver for continuous improvement of risk management practices and believe that adoption of leading risk management practices are essential for achieving its strategic intent. Accordingly, the Group has adopted the IRB model for the measurement of credit risk covering substantial majority of the portfolio. The Group applies Internal Model Approval model for market risk capital and the Standardised Approach for determining its OR capital requirements. SCBI has adopted RBI’s prevailing Basel II/III regulations related to SA for credit and market risk and Basic Indicator Approach (BIA) for OR for computing local regulatory Pillar 1 capital.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016**3.2. Pillar 2**

Pillar 2 requires banks to undertake a comprehensive assessment of their risks and to determine the appropriate amounts of capital to be held against these risks where other suitable mitigants are not available. This risk and capital assessment is commonly referred to as an Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP). The range of risks that need to be covered by the ICAAP is much broader than Pillar 1, which covers only credit risk, market risk and OR.

The Group has developed an ICAAP framework which closely integrates the risk management and capital assessment processes and ensures that adequate levels of capital are maintained to support the current and projected demand for capital under expected and stressed conditions. The ICAAP framework has been designed to be applied consistently across the organisation to meet the Pillar 2 requirements of local regulators. As a branch of a foreign bank in India, the India ICAAP is largely based on the Group ICAAP framework, so as to maintain consistency in reporting of the risk and capital management aspects. However, wherever necessary, local customisation has been incorporated to align with the RBI requirements.

3.3. Pillar 3

Pillar 3 aims to provide a consistent and comprehensive disclosure framework that enhances comparability between banks and further promotes improvements in risk management practices. The Bank has implemented the requirements laid down by RBI for Pillar 3 disclosure, covering both the qualitative and quantitative items. These are also published in the Bank's annual report and hosted on the Bank's website.

The risk related disclosures and analysis provided herein below, are primarily in the context of the disclosures required under the RBI's Pillar 3 – Market Discipline of the Basel III Capital Regulations and are in respect of SCBI, except where required and specifically elaborated, to include other Group entities operating in India. The information provided has been reviewed by senior management and is in accordance with the guidelines prescribed by the RBI.

3.4. Accounting and Prudential Treatment / Consolidation Framework

The consolidation norms for accounting are determined by the prevailing Indian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The regulatory requirements are governed by RBI guidelines. The differences between consolidation for accounting purposes and regulatory purposes are mainly on account of following reasons:

- 1) Control over other entities to govern the financial and operating policies of the subsidiaries or joint ventures

As per Indian GAAP, existence of control/joint control to govern the financial and operating policies of the subsidiary or joint venture is necessary for accounting consolidation. However, certain entities such as Non Banking Finance Companies (NBFC) have to be consolidated for regulatory capital adequacy purposes even where the above requirement is not fulfilled. Such cases are where the ability to control financial and operating policies of the entities legally vests with the Parent or Group entities and not with the India branch operations.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

2) Nature of business of the entities to be consolidated

As per Indian GAAP, subsidiaries are not excluded from consolidation because of dissimilar nature of business activities between subsidiary and other entities within the Group. However, RBI regulations do not require consolidation of entities engaged in insurance business and businesses not pertaining to financial services.

3) Method of consolidation

The accounting consolidation methodology requires 'line by line' consolidation and elimination of all inter-group balances. However, for the purpose of regulatory consolidation under the capital adequacy framework, the risk weighted assets (RWA) and capital requirements for each entity can be computed separately by applying the Basel II/III norms as applicable for a bank and simply added together with that of the lead bank in the consolidated group. The Bank has adopted the latter approach for consolidation of entities for limited purpose of capital adequacy framework, as the accounting consolidation method is not appropriate considering the legal ownership pattern of the consolidated entities.

List of group entities considered for consolidation for regulatory purposes is summarised below:

Name Of The Entity / Country Of Incorporation	Whether The Entity Is Included Under Accounting Scope Of Consolidation (Yes / No)	Explain The Method Of Consolidation	Whether The Entity Is Included Under Regulatory Scope Of Consolidation (Yes / No)	Explain The Method Of Consolidation	Explain The Reasons For Difference In The Method Of Consolidation	Explain the reasons if consolidated under only one of the scopes of consolidation
Standard Chartered Bank India Branches	Yes	Full	Yes			
Standard Chartered Investments and Loans (India) Limited	No	Not Applicable	Yes			For the purpose of regulatory consolidation under the capital adequacy framework, the RWA and capital requirements for each entity can be computed separately by applying the Basel II/III norms as applicable for a bank and simply added together with that of the lead bank in the consolidated group. The Bank has adopted the latter approach for consolidation of entities for limited purpose of capital adequacy framework, as the accounting consolidation method is not appropriate considering the legal ownership pattern of the consolidated entities.
Standard Chartered Securities (India) Limited	No	Not Applicable	Yes			
St. Helen's Nominees India Private Limited	No	Not Applicable	Yes			

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

List of group entities in India not considered for consolidation both under the accounting and regulatory scope of consolidation:

						(₹ in 000s)
Name Of The Entity /Country Of Incorporation	Principle activity of the entity	Total balance sheet equity (as stated in the accounting balance sheet of the legal entity)	% of bank's holding in the total equity	Regulatory treatment of bank's investments in the capital instruments of the entity	Total balance sheet assets(as stated in the accounting balance sheet of the legal entity)	
Scope International Private Limited	The company renders the following services to related parties: a) Software development, maintenance & support b) Back office transaction processing and data processing of various banking transactions c) IT support d) Voice call centre services	83,120	0%	Not Applicable	9,493,570	
Standard Chartered Finance Limited	Marketing services of financial products of Standard Chartered Bank and its Home Assist division provides search and other property related services.	71,907	0%	Not Applicable	820,703	
Standard Chartered (India) Modeling And Analytics Centre Private Limited.	The company is a captive knowledge process outsourcing company which provides robust and contemporary analytical solutions to the Bank's businesses across the globe for the purpose of risk management and capital management.	500,000	0%	Not Applicable	641,192	
Standard Chartered Private Equity Advisory (India) Private Limited	The company is a research unit for Merlion India Fund carrying on activities of industry research and advice by furnishing industry and market feedback.	24,000	0%	Not Applicable	644,915	

Note: The above data is as per latest audited results as at 31 March 2016.

DF 1 - Quantitative Disclosures

List of group entities considered for regulatory consolidation:

					(₹ in 000s)
Name Of The Entity /Country Of Incorporation	Principle activity of the entity	Total balance sheet equity (as stated in the accounting balance sheet of the legal entity)	Total balance sheet assets(as stated in the accounting balance sheet of the legal entity)		
Standard Chartered Bank, India Branches	Banking and Financial services	74,400,742	1,296,631,351		
Standard Chartered Investments and Loans (India) Limited	Financial services acceptable for NBFC, other than accepting public deposits eg. lending, investments, etc.	4,543,850	13,127,830		
Standard Chartered Securities (India) Limited	Category I merchant banker, rendering brokering services to retail clients and depository services	2,818,557	2,546,833		

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

St. Helen's Nominees India Private Limited	Nominee business - holding shares / debentures in limited companies on behalf of SCBI and its clients. Security trusteeship business for SCBI.	100	17,713
---	--	-----	--------

Note: The above data is as per unaudited results as at 30 June 2016

The aggregate amount of capital deficiencies in all subsidiaries not included in the consolidation, i.e., that are deducted and the name(s) of such subsidiaries.	NIL
The aggregate amounts (e.g., current book value) of the bank's total interests in insurance entities, which are risk-weighted, as well as, their name, their country of incorporation or residence, the proportion of ownership interest and, if different, the proportion of voting power in these entities. In addition, indicate the quantitative impact on regulatory capital of using this method versus using the deduction.	NIL
Any restrictions or impediments on transfer of funds or regulatory capital within the banking group.	As per extant RBI guidelines

4. DF 2 - Capital Adequacy

DF 2 - Qualitative Disclosures

4.1. Objectives

The Bank's capital management approach is driven by its desire to maintain a strong capital base to support the development of its business and to meet regulatory capital requirements at all times.

4.2. Approach

Strategic, business and capital plans are drawn up annually covering a one to five year horizon. The plans ensure that adequate levels of capital and an optimum mix are maintained by the Bank to support its strategy. This is integrated with the Bank's annual planning process which takes into consideration business growth assumptions across products and the related impact on capital resources.

The capital plan takes the following into account:

- Regulatory capital requirements and assessment of future standards;
- Demand for capital due to business growth, market stresses and potential risks; and
- Available supply of capital and capital raising options.

The Group uses internal models and other quantitative techniques in its internal risk and capital assessment at an overall Group level. The Bank also considers additional risk types other than those considered under Pillar 1 as part of its ICAAP. Each material risk is assessed, relevant mitigants considered, and appropriate levels of capital determined.

Stress testing and scenario/sensitivity analysis are used to assess the Bank's ability to sustain operations during periods of extreme but plausible events. They provide an insight into the potential impact of significant adverse events on the Bank's earnings, risk profile and capital position and how these could be mitigated.

The capital that the Bank is required to hold by the RBI is mainly determined by its balance sheet, off-balance sheet and market risk positions, after applying collateral and other risk mitigants.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016**4.3. Governance**

The Group operates processes and controls to monitor and manage capital adequacy across the organisation. At a country level, capital is maintained on the basis of the local regulator's requirements. It is overseen by the country Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO), which is responsible for managing the country balance sheet, capital and liquidity, with the active support and guidance from Group ALCO (GALCO), Operational Balance Sheet Committee (OBSC) and Group Treasury (GT). The responsibility of capital management has been assigned to a dedicated sub-group of ALCO, the Capital Management Forum (CMF). The capital management process is governed by the Capital Planning Framework.

Suitable processes and controls are in place to monitor and manage capital adequacy and ensure compliance with local regulatory ratios in all legal entities. These processes are designed to ensure that each entity and the consolidated Bank have sufficient capital available to meet local regulatory capital requirements at all times.

4.4. Mobility of Capital Resources

The Bank operates as a branch in India, hence under current RBI regulations it cannot raise capital externally. The Group's policy in respect of profit repatriation requires that each local entity should remit its profits that are considered surplus to local regulatory minimum requirements. The amount to be remitted/injected and the mix/mode of capital (CET 1 v/s Tier 2) is determined in conjunction with GT, after taking into account local capital adequacy regulations (inclusive of any regulatory buffers), anticipated changes to those regulations, forecast organic growth and Head Office (HO) return expectations.

4.5. Capital Structure

CET 1/Tier 1 capital mainly comprises of:

- i) Capital funds injected by HO.
- ii) Net profits of each year retained as per statutory norms (currently 25%).
- iii) Remittable net profits retained in India for meeting regulatory capital requirements.
- iv) Capital reserves created out of profits on account of sale of immovable properties and held to maturity investments, as per RBI regulations.

The above are not repatriable/distributable to HO as long as the Bank operates in India.

Tier 2 capital mainly comprises of:

- i) 45% of reserve created on revaluation of immovable properties in accordance with the Indian GAAP.
- ii) General provisions on standard (performing) assets created as per RBI regulations.
- iii) Reserve created out of unrealised gain on revaluation of investments as per RBI regulations.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

DF 2 - Quantitative Disclosures

Capital and RWA

As at 30 June 2016	Solo bank*	(₹ in 000s) Consolidated bank*
Tier 1 Capital :	214,030,222	224,069,063
Common Equity Tier I	214,030,222	224,069,063
Head Office Capital	74,400,742	74,400,742
Paid up capital	-	7,362,507
Eligible reserves	158,060,023	159,973,761
Illiquid securities reserves	(496,821)	(496,821)
Intangible assets (excluding DTA)	(16,441)	(50,559)
Other regulatory adjustments	(1,502)	(1,502)
DTA deduction (Net of Benefit)	(17,915,778)	(17,119,064)
Additional Tier I	-	-
Tier 2 Capital :	10,463,225	10,494,704
Eligible revaluation reserves	2,734,160	2,734,160
General provision and other eligible reserves/provisions	7,729,065	7,760,544
Debt capital instruments eligible to be reckoned as capital funds and included in Lower Tier 2 (of which amount raised during the year Rs. Nil)	-	-
Less: Amortisation of qualifying subordinated debts	-	-
Other regulatory adjustments	-	-
Total capital base	224,493,447	234,563,767
Minimum regulatory capital requirements		
Credit risk	124,492,411	125,772,751
Standardised approach portfolios	94,337,761	95,410,153
Securitisation exposures	1,842,367	1,842,367
Counterparty/settlement risks	23,082,286	23,082,286
Benefit of DTA	5,229,997	5,437,945
Market risk - Standardised duration approach	14,543,136	14,546,761
Interest rate risk	13,883,393	13,883,393
Foreign exchange risk (including gold)	607,500	607,500
Equity risk	52,244	55,868
Counterparty/settlement risks	-	-
Operational risk - Basic indicator approach	14,142,258	14,465,058
Total minimum regulatory capital requirements	153,177,805	154,784,570
Risk weighted assets and contingents		
Credit risk	1,383,249,012	1,397,475,011
Market risk (including counterparty/settlement risks)	161,590,405	161,630,674
Operational risk - Basic indicator approach	157,136,196	160,722,871
Total Risk weighted assets and contingents	1,701,975,613	1,719,828,556

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

Capital ratios

Common Equity Tier 1 capital	12.58%	13.03%
Tier 1 capital	12.58%	13.03%
Tier 2 capital	0.61%	0.61%
Total capital	13.19%	13.64%

As at 30 June 2015	(₹ in 000s)	
	Solo bank*	Consolidated bank*
Tier 1 Capital :	198,585,664	207,057,276
Common Equity Tier I	198,585,664	207,057,276
Head Office Capital	74,400,742	74,400,742
Paid up capital	-	7,362,507
Eligible reserves	155,382,591	156,634,288
Intangible assets (excluding DTA)	(22,805)	(47,491)
Other regulatory adjustments	(3,954)	(3,954)
DTA deduction (Gross)	(31,170,910)	(31,288,815)
Additional Tier I	-	-
Tier 2 Capital :	10,558,534	10,583,405
Eligible revaluation reserves	2,734,160	2,734,160
General provision and other eligible reserves/provisions	7,824,374	7,849,245
Debt capital instruments eligible to be reckoned as capital funds and included in Lower Tier 2 (of which amount raised during the year Rs. Nil)	-	-
Less: Amortisation of qualifying subordinated debts	-	-
Other regulatory adjustments	-	-
Total capital base	209,144,198	217,640,681
Minimum regulatory capital requirements		
Credit risk	126,705,612	127,970,929
Standardised approach portfolios	95,404,057	96,669,374
Securitisation exposures	2,203,438	2,203,438
Counterparty/settlement risks	29,098,117	29,098,117
Market risk - Standardised duration approach	11,688,684	11,692,262
Interest rate risk	11,028,872	11,028,872
Foreign exchange risk (including gold)	607,500	607,500
Equity risk	52,312	55,890
Counterparty/settlement risks	-	-
Operational risk - Basic indicator approach	14,123,987	14,517,417
Total minimum regulatory capital requirements	152,518,283	154,180,608

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

Risk weighted assets and contingents		
Credit risk	1,407,840,132	1,421,899,213
Market risk <i>(including counterparty/settlement risks)</i>	129,874,265	129,914,016
Operational risk - Basic indicator approach	156,933,192	161,304,638
Total Risk weighted assets and contingents	1,694,647,589	1,713,117,867
Capital ratios		
Common Equity Tier 1 capital	11.72%	12.09%
Tier 1 capital	11.72%	12.09%
Tier 2 capital	0.62%	0.62%
Total capital	12.34%	12.70%

* Solo Bank represents the main licensed bank of the Group in India and consolidated bank includes Group controlled entities operating in India and consolidated for the limited purpose of capital adequacy framework.

5. Risk Management

The Bank has a strong governance culture and framework for risk management. The Bank's risk management principles align with those established at a Group level, and are customised to meet the local regulatory requirements. One of the main risks incurred arises from extending credit to clients through trading and lending operations. Beyond credit risk, the Bank is also exposed to a range of other risk types such as market, operational, liquidity, pension, country cross border, reputational, strategic and other risks that are inherent to its strategy, product range and geographical coverage.

5.1. Risk Management Framework

The Bank adds value to clients and generates returns for shareholders by taking and managing risk in line with strategy and risk appetite. Risk management is the set of end-to-end activities through which the Bank makes risk-taking decisions and controls and optimises its risk-return profile. It is a Bank-wide activity and starts right at the front-line.

The management of risk lies at the heart of the Bank's business, as a central role of the Bank is to "warehouse" risk by extending credit to selected clients and to provide products which enable clients to lay off their price and liquidity risks to the Bank. Effective risk management is a central part of the financial and operational management of the Bank and fundamental to its ability to generate profits consistently and maximise the interest of its shareholders and other stakeholders.

The foundation of all risk assessment is aligned to the Group's Risk Management Framework ("RMF") and governance structure which has been adopted locally. The Group's RMF establishes common principles and standards for the management of and control of all risks, and to inform behaviour across the organisation. The core components of the RMF include its risk classifications, risk principles and standards, definitions of roles and responsibilities and governance structure. Under this framework, there are three lines of defence.

- The First Line of Defence is that all employees are required to ensure the effective management of risks within the scope of their direct organisational responsibilities.
- The Second Line of Defence comprises the Risk Control Owners ("RCOs") supported by their respective control functions. They are responsible for ensuring that the residual risks within the scope of their responsibilities remain within appetite.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

- The Third Line of Defence comprises the independent assurance provided by the GIA function, which has no responsibilities for any of the activities it examines. GIA provides independent assurance of the effectiveness of management's control of its own business activities (the First Line) and of the processes maintained by the Risk Control Functions (the Second Line). As a result, GIA provides assurance that the overall system of control effectiveness is working as required within the RMF.

5.2. Risk Governance

The Group's committee governance structure ensures that risk-taking authority and risk management policies are cascaded down from the GALCO and Group Chief Risk Officer to the appropriate functional and divisional committees. Information regarding material risk issues and compliance with policies and standards is communicated through the business and functional committees up to the Group-level committees, as appropriate.

The Country Management Team (CMT) drives and executes the business and governance agenda bringing alignment across the business and the functions so as to maximise and protect the value of the Group's operations in India. It is responsible for the overall strategic direction of the Bank. It is chaired by Country Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and comprises senior executive members of the Bank.

The following committees are the primary committees with oversight of risk and capital for the Bank:

1. ALCO – responsible for the management of capital and liquidity and the establishment of and compliance with policies relating to balance sheet management, including management of the Bank's liquidity and capital adequacy. It includes the CEO, Chief Financial Officer (CFO), Country Chief Risk Officer (CCRO) and members from the businesses and the economist.
2. Country Risk Committee (CRC) – responsible for the effective management of risks in support of business strategy within the boundaries set by the CMT and business level risk committees. It is responsible for implementing the RMF, including assignment of the roles and responsibilities of RCOs locally. It is also responsible for ensuring that the risk exposures for all types of risks, including liquidity risk, remain within the overall risk appetite and within any specific boundaries advised by CMT and business risk committees. It includes the CEO, CCRO, CFO and members from the businesses and compliance.

Key sub-committees / forums include:

- A. The Liquidity Management Forum (LMF) is a sub-group of the ALCO which manages liquidity. It includes members from Finance, Asset Liability Management (ALM) and the businesses.
- B. The CMF is a sub-group of the ALCO which manages capital. It includes members from Finance, Risk and the businesses.
- C. The Stress Test Forum (STF) is a sub-committee of the CRC which is responsible for reviewing the results of ongoing stress testing including for ICAAP. It includes members from the Finance and Risk functions and the Country Economist.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

- D. The Country Operational Risk Committee (CORC) is a sub-committee of the CRC which exercises oversight of the Bank's OR exposures to ensure that it is aligned with the Bank's RMF. The CORC reviews the Bank's significant risk exposures and ensures appropriateness and adequacy of mitigating action plans.
- E. The Credit Issues Committee (CIC) is a sub-committee of the CRC which is responsible for identifying and monitoring corporate clients which show potential signs of weakness and/or may be exposed to higher risks. The CIC reviews the existing Early Alert, Retail and Group Special Assets Management (GSAM) portfolio and new accounts presented to the committee.

5.3. The Risk function

The CCRO manages the Risk function which is independent of the businesses. The role of the Risk function is:

- To maintain the RMF, ensuring it remains appropriate to the Bank's activities and is effectively communicated and implemented across the Bank and for administering related governance and reporting processes.
- To uphold the integrity of the Bank's risk/return decisions, and in particular for ensuring that risks are properly assessed, that risk/return decisions are made transparently on the basis of this proper assessment, and are controlled in accordance with its standards and risk appetite.
- To exercise direct risk control ownership for credit, market, country cross-border, liquidity and operational risk types.

The Risk function is independent of the origination, trading and sales functions to ensure that the necessary balance in risk/return decisions is not compromised by short-term pressures to generate revenues. This is particularly important given that most revenues are recognised immediately while losses arising from risk positions only manifest themselves over time.

In addition, the Risk function is a centre of excellence that provides specialist capabilities of relevance to risk management processes in the wider organisation.

5.4. Risk Appetite/Tolerance

The Group/Bank manages its risks to build a sustainable franchise in the interests of all stakeholders. The Group Risk Tolerance Statement is the Standard Chartered PLC's Board of Directors' articulation of the amount of risk that the Group is willing to take in the pursuit of its strategic goals. The Risk Tolerance benchmarks provide a lens to identify risks and concentrations that may cause the Group to exceed its risk appetite. Within the Bank, these risks and concentrations are addressed and governed by various policies and frameworks (eg. RMF, Local Lending Policy, Liquidity Risk Framework, etc) which contain specific limits and parameters to manage them.

5.5. Stress Testing

Stress testing and scenario analysis are used to assess the Bank's ability to maintain operations during periods of severe but plausible stress conditions and to simulate the set of feasible management mitigating actions and their impact on the Bank's earnings, risk profile and capital position, should such conditions materialise. These conditions may arise from economic, liquidity, legal, political or physical events, or from materialisation of risks that are unique to the Bank.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

The stress testing framework is designed to:

- Contribute to the setting and monitoring of the Bank's ability to take risk;
- Identify the key risks to strategy, financial position and reputation;
- Support the development of mitigating actions and contingency plans;
- Ensure effective governance, processes and systems are in place to co-ordinate and integrate stress testing;
- Inform senior management; and
- Ensure adherence to regulatory requirements.

A Group level equivalent of STF, led by the Risk function with participation from the businesses, Group Finance, Global Research and GT, aims to ensure that the earnings and capital implications of specific stress scenarios are fully understood allowing informed mitigation actions and construction of contingency plans. This group forum generates and considers pertinent and plausible scenarios that have the potential to adversely affect the Group/Bank's business.

The India STF leverages on work done by Group and, in addition, reviews scenarios specific to the local context, including for ICAAP. Stress tests/impact analysis done in India during 2015-16 included RBI mandated bottom-up stress test and derivatives portfolio stress test, tall tree analysis, etc.

6. DF 3 - Credit Risk: General disclosures

DF 3 - Qualitative Disclosures

Credit risk is the potential for loss due to the failure of counterparty to meet its obligations to pay the Bank in accordance with agreed terms. Credit exposures may arise from both, the banking and trading books.

Credit risk is managed through a framework that sets out policies and procedures covering the measurement and management of credit risk. There is a clear segregation of duties between transaction originators who are in the business units and approvers in the risk function. All credit exposure limits are approved within a defined credit approval authority framework.

6.1. Credit Policies

Group-wide credit policies and standards are considered and approved by the Group Risk Committee (GRC), which also oversees the delegation of credit approval and loan impairment provisioning authorities. Policies and procedures specific to each business are established and provide the outline for how credit risk should be monitored and managed in the Bank. These Group policies/procedures are customised locally to incorporate any local regulatory and governance needs.

6.2. Credit Assessment Process

For Corporate and Institutional (C&I) Clients

A pre-sanction appraisal is carried out by the relationship manager through a Business Credit Application (BCA). BCAs are reviewed and duly approved by the credit officer based on the authority delegation given to him.

Every account is graded using an alphanumeric grading system for quantifying the risks associated with the counterparty. The grading is based on a Probability of Default (PD) measure, with clients analysed against a range of quantitative and qualitative measures. The numeric grades run from 1 to 14 and some of the grades are further sub-classified A, B or C. Lower credit grades are indicative of a lower likelihood of default. Credit grades

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

1A to 12C are assigned to performing clients or accounts, while credit grades 13 and 14 are assigned to non-performing or defaulted clients. The Bank's credit grades are not intended to replicate external credit grades, and ratings assigned by external ratings agencies are not used in determining the Bank's internal credit grades. Nonetheless, as the factors used to grade a borrower may be similar, a borrower's poor external rating is kept in mind while assessing his internal credit grade.

Nominal Limits, Loss Given Default (LGD), Expected Loss, Exposure At Default (EAD) and RWA are used in the assessment of individual exposures and portfolio analysis. LGD is the credit loss incurred if an obligor defaults. Nominal Limits are used in the delegation of credit approval authority and must be calculated for every transaction to determine the appropriate level of approval. In accordance with the credit authority delegation, significant exposures are reviewed and approved centrally through a credit committee. All the credit facilities are subject to an annual credit review process.

The Bank's Credit Policy, including local/governance/regulatory needs, requires strict adherence to laid down credit procedures and deviations, if any, are approved and captured through the credit appraisal process. Deviation from pre defined policy and procedures/local regulations are flagged off and approved by the relevant authority, if allowed, to ensure that deviations are justified and appropriately approved to avoid any undue loss/risk to the Bank.

For Retail Clients

Standard application forms are used, which are processed in central units using largely automated processes. Where appropriate to the client, product or market, a manual approval process by SCB Officers is in place. Origination and approval roles are segregated.

Distribution of credit products through the new business channel is governed by the New Business Management and Risk Policy and Procedures, which among other requirements, lays down policies governing recruitment, verification, training and monitoring of sales staff. Credit decisions are independent of the sales/marketing functions and there are clear and specific delegated authorities. Regular assurance reviews through Control Self Testing/Key Control Indicators and audits ensure compliance to policy and delegated authorities.

Credit grades are based on a PD calculated using IRB score models. These models are based on application and behavioural scorecards which make use of external credit bureau information as well as the Bank's own data. In case of portfolios where such IRB models have not yet been developed, the PD is calculated using portfolio delinquency flow rates and expert judgement, where applicable. An alphanumeric grading system identical to that for C&I clients is used as an index of portfolio quality.

6.3. Credit Approval

All credit approval authorities are delegated by the Group Credit Approval Committee (CAC) to individuals based on their judgement and experience and based on a risk-adjusted scale which takes account of the estimated maximum potential loss from a given client or portfolio. Credit origination and approval roles are segregated in all exposures.

6.4. Credit Monitoring

The Bank monitors its credit exposures and assesses the impact of trends in the macroeconomic environment which may impact its portfolio performance.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

For C&I clients, clients or portfolios are placed on 'Early Alert' when they display signs of actual or potential weakness. For example, where there is a decline in the client's position within the industry, financial deterioration, a breach of covenants, non-performance of an obligation within the stipulated period or there are concerns relating to ownership or management. Such accounts and portfolios are subjected to a dedicated process overseen by the CIC. Client account plans, documentation for existing facilities, and credit grades are re-evaluated. In addition, remedial actions are agreed and monitored. Remedial actions include, but are not limited to, exposure reduction, security enhancement, exiting the account or immediate movement of the account into the control of GSAM, the specialist recovery unit, which is independent of the main businesses.

For Retail clients, portfolio delinquency trends are monitored and reviewed at pre determined frequency. Individual client behaviour is also tracked and is considered for lending decisions. Accounts which are past due are subject to a collections process, managed independently by the Risk function. Charged-off accounts are managed by a specialist recovery team. The micro and small-sized enterprise business is managed in small businesses segment. The credit processes are refined based on exposure at risk and are managed through Programmed Lending, in line with procedures for Retail clients.

The CRC is responsible for the effective management of credit risk, among other risks.

6.5. Concentration Risk

Credit concentration risk can arise from pools of exposures with similar characteristics which may lead to highly correlated changes in credit quality, for example individual large exposures or significantly large groups of exposures whose likelihood of default is driven by common underlying factors.

Credit concentration risk is governed by the Group's risk tolerance framework and Local Lending Policy (LLP) / Credit Approval Document (CAD); adherence to these policies is monitored by the CRC. Credit concentration risk is managed via portfolio standards and within concentration caps set for counterparties or groups of connected counterparties, and for industry sectors, credit grade bands, business segments and collateralisation for C&I clients and by products for Retail clients.

Credit concentration risk is principally managed based on three components: single-name borrower exposure, industry concentrations and product concentration. For managing single-name concentrations, the Bank monitors compliance to the single and group borrower regulatory guidelines. The LLP establishes industry and credit grade concentration limits. The CRC monitors adherence to these prescribed limits. Any excesses from the ceilings prescribed in the LLP are escalated to the CCRO/CRC/CMT for approval in accordance with the delegated authorities outlined in the LLP.

For Retail clients, product concentration risk is managed through portfolio management approach in order to limit concentration, reduce volatility and improve profitability. As part of this approach, the Bank monitors product concentration on a bi-monthly basis.

6.6. Risk Reporting and Measurement

Risk measurement plays a central role, along with judgement and experience, in informing risk-taking and portfolio management decisions. It is a primary area for sustained investment and senior management attention.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

Various risk measurement systems are available to risk officers to enable them to assess and manage the credit portfolio. As the Group has adopted IRB for credit risk under Basel II/III, these include systems to calculate nominal exposure, PD, LGD and EAD on a transaction, counterparty and portfolio basis. The Group has implemented a single risk reporting system to aggregate risk data. This is used to generate management information to assist business and Risk users with risk monitoring and management.

A number of internal risk management reports are produced on a regular basis, providing information on; individual counterparty, counterparty group, portfolio exposure, credit grade migration, the status of accounts or portfolios showing signs of weakness or financial deterioration, models performance and updates on credit markets. IRB portfolio metrics are widely used in these reports. Regular portfolio risk reports are made available at risk committee meetings.

6.7. Problem Credit Management and Provisioning

Credit monitoring is a continuous process. The frequency for each type of monitoring processes is defined. For example, excesses and past dues are reviewed on daily basis by business and credit officials. Covenants and risk triggers are normally linked to an event e.g. quarter on quarter drop in sales, exchange rate, crude prices, etc. For corporate accounts identified in risk based manner, a Quarterly Performance Review (QPR) is also carried out. Account conduct is also tracked on a monthly basis in terms of past dues, excesses, documentation, compliance with covenants and progress on exit accounts through the Account Subject To Additional Review Process (ASTAR) reporting process. Potential problem credits are identified through the credit monitoring process and reported to the CIC for additional review. In addition, portfolio level review for both C&I and Retail clients is undertaken to track portfolio performance against local underwriting standards/Group policy. Outcomes of such reviews are placed before the CRC.

C&I Exposures

Loans are classified as impaired and considered non-performing where analysis and review indicates that full payment of either interest or principal becomes questionable, or as soon as payment of interest or principal is 90 days or more overdue. Impaired accounts are managed by GSAM.

Specific provisions are made in accordance with the Bank's internal policy, subject to minimum provisions required under the RBI guidelines. When all sources of recovery have been exhausted and no further source of recovery is apparent, then the debt is written off by applying the impairment provision held.

Retail Exposures

An account is considered to be delinquent when payment is not received on the due date. For credit cards, an account is required to be considered delinquent on the payment due date upon non receipt of payment till the payment due date plus 3 grace days. For delinquency reporting purposes, the Bank follows industry standards measuring delinquency as of 1, 30, 60 and 90 days past due. Accounts that are overdue are closely monitored. Loans are classified as impaired and considered non-performing where analysis and review indicates that full payment of either interest or principal becomes questionable, or as soon as payment of interest or principal is 90 days or more overdue.

The process used for raising provisions is dependent on the product category and adheres to the Bank's internal policy, subject to minimum provisions required under the RBI guidelines. In case of unsecured products, outstanding balances are written off at 150 days past due except discretionary lending. Unsecured products under discretionary lending are fully provided for at 90 days past due. In case of secured products like

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

Mortgages, provision is raised after considering the realisable value of the collateral. For all products there are certain accounts such as cases involving fraud and death, where the loss recognition process is accelerated.

The Bank also maintains general provision as a percentage of performing standard advances and on unhedged foreign currency exposures, as prescribed by the RBI, to cover the inherent risk of losses.

The credit portfolio is monitored and reported to appropriate authorities in accordance with extant Group Policies/Procedures including Monitoring and Control Policy, Credit Initiation and Approval Policy and Risk Mitigation Policy, as well as extant local regulations/guidelines prescribed from time to time by RBI, e.g. Early Recognition of Financial Distress, Prompt Steps for Resolution and Fair Recovery for Lenders- Framework for Revitalising Distressed Assets in the Economy and reporting to Central Repository of Information on Large Credits (CRILC).

DF 3 - Quantitative Disclosures

a) Analysis of total gross credit risk exposures; fund based and non-fund based separately

(₹ in 000s)

Nature & category of exposures	Credit risk exposures	
	30.06.2016	30.06.2015
Inter bank exposures	24,147,946	5,334,512
Investments (HTM)	-	-
Advances	756,112,836	778,385,587
Total gross fund based exposures	780,260,782	783,720,099
Specific provisions / Provisions for depreciation in the value of investment ¹	(85,268,539)	(68,300,103)
Total net fund based exposures	694,992,243	715,419,996
Fx and derivative contracts	402,240,232	377,617,417
Guarantees, acceptances, endorsements and other obligations	306,516,486	291,946,056
Other commitments and credit lines ²	54,350,589	55,496,228
Total gross non-fund based exposures³	763,107,307	725,059,701
Specific provisions	(737)	(737)
Total net non fund based exposures	763,106,570	725,058,964

¹ Excluding provision on standard assets

² Excluding credit lines which are unconditionally cancellable at the Bank's sole discretion or, effectively provide for automatic cancellation of credit lines due to deterioration of borrower's creditworthiness.

³ For non-fund based exposures, credit risk exposures or, equivalents are computed as under:

- In case of exposures other than Fx and derivative contracts, credit equivalent is arrived at by multiplying the underlying contract or notional principal amounts with the credit conversion factors prescribed by the RBI under the Basel II/III capital framework.
- In case of Fx and derivative contracts, credit equivalents are computed using the current exposure method which includes, two steps as under:
 - Computation of current credit exposure, which is sum of the positive Mark to Market (MTM) value of the outstanding contracts and
 - Potential future credit exposure (PFE), which is determined by multiplying the notional principal amounts by the relevant 'add-on' factor based on tenor and type of underlying contracts.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

b) Analysis of geographic distribution of exposures; fund based and non-fund based separately

As all the exposures under Para (a) above are domestic, the analysis of geographic distribution of exposures into fund and non-fund based has not been disclosed separately.

c) Analysis of industry wise distribution of exposures; fund based and non-fund based separately

(₹ in 000s)

Sn o	Nature and category of industry	30.06.2016			30.06.2015		
		Fund based	Non fund based	Total	Fund based	Non fund based	Total
1.	Mining and Quarrying	1,685,431	916,636	2,602,067	762,206	1,201,567	1,963,773
	<i>Of which:</i>						
	- Coal	325,602	104,608	430,210	243,960	123,406	367,366
	- Others	1,359,829	812,028	2,171,857	518,246	1,078,161	1,596,407
2.	Food Processing	17,206,653	10,647,026	27,853,679	16,492,223	10,335,398	26,827,621
	<i>Of which:</i>						
	- Sugar	4,610,601	4,701,428	9,312,029	5,040,440	4,431,283	9,471,723
	- Edible Oils and Vanaspatti	2,688,529	5,313,728	8,002,257	1,872,630	4,024,690	5,897,320
	- Tea	36,630	540,210	576,840	100,888	439,204	540,092
	- Coffee		-	-			-
	- Others	9,870,894	91,660	9,962,554	9,478,265	1,440,221	10,918,486
3.	Beverages (excluding Tea & Coffee) and Tobacco	7,962,597	1,063,489	9,026,087	7,492,835	745,201	8,238,036
	<i>Of which:</i>						
	- Tobacco and tobacco products	1,653,433	812,004	2,465,437	1,345,885	463,506	1,809,391
	- Others	6,309,165	251,485	6,560,650	6,146,949	281,695	6,428,644
4.	Textiles	22,681,197	1,453,036	24,134,233	18,203,256	1,647,085	19,850,341
	<i>Of which:</i>						
	- Cotton	-	-	-	112,702	-	112,702
	- Others	22,681,197	1,453,036	24,134,233	18,090,554	1,647,085	19,737,639
	<i>Out of Total Textiles to Spinning Mills</i>	503,245	-	503,245	649,335		649,335
5.	Leather and Leather products	1,557,177	291,512	1,848,689	1,228,837	314,140	1,542,977
6.	Wood and Wood Products	1,275,884	1,016,885	2,292,769	950,733	1,398,800	2,349,533
7.	Paper and Paper Products	10,276,653	1,306,349	11,583,002	7,430,817	1,677,446	9,108,263
8.	Petroleum (non-infra), Coal Products (non- mining) and Nuclear Fuels	813,338	7,909,101	8,722,439	184,168	7,895,921	8,080,089
9.	Chemicals and Chemical Products (Dyes, Paints, etc.)	34,809,472	22,659,540	57,469,010	33,404,407	12,840,829	46,245,236
	<i>Of which:</i>						
	- Fertilisers	1,620,341	249,217	1,869,558	147,258	486,192	633,450
	- Drugs and Pharmaceuticals	18,653,904	13,162,312	31,816,216	17,552,544	1,469,968	19,022,512

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

	- Petro-chemicals (excluding under Infrastructure)	6,383,344	2,171,575	8,554,919	7,489,016	2,924,133	10,413,149
	- Others	8,151,882	7,076,435	15,228,317	8,215,589	7,960,536	16,176,125
10.	Rubber, Plastic and their Products	4,234,636	2,859,451	7,094,087	5,262,341	3,594,421	8,856,762
11.	Glass & Glassware	1,797,413	1,899,033	3,696,446	1,443,161	690,477	2,133,638
12.	Cement and Cement Products	13,963,711	2,066,209	16,029,920	13,054,044	1,943,241	14,997,285
13.	Basic Metal and Metal Products	43,981,666	19,259,547	63,241,213	45,691,243	18,637,487	64,328,730
	<i>Of which:</i>						
	- Iron and Steel	22,556,307	14,456,284	37,012,591	20,767,864	10,858,628	31,626,492
	- Other Metal and Metal Products	21,425,358	4,803,264	26,228,622	24,923,378	7,778,859	32,702,237
14.	All Engineering <i>Of which:</i>	30,014,039	30,518,032	60,532,071	32,431,635	29,917,143	62,348,778
	- Electronics	5,216,306	10,530,378	15,746,684	6,015,174	9,812,480	15,827,654
	- Others	24,797,733	19,987,654	44,785,387	26,416,461	20,104,662	46,521,123
15.	Vehicles, Vehicle Parts and Transport Equipments	22,471,794	5,324,345	27,796,139	22,452,713	10,359,123	32,811,836
16.	Gems & Jewellery	15,635,249	3,702,069	19,337,318	24,919,999	1,759,732	26,679,731
17.	Construction	8,316,147	7,595,294	15,911,441	12,007,548	10,600,807	22,608,355
18.	Aviation	-	23,903,550	23,903,550	22,228,051	22,878,444	45,106,495
19.	Infrastructure <i>Of which:</i>	65,341,753	46,069,095	111,410,848	103,351,150	31,230,077	134,581,227
	- Roads and Bridges	14,060,087	3,865,837	17,925,924	13,648,843	2,963,547	16,612,390
	- Ports	-	-	-	-	-	-
	- Inland Waterways	6,887,993	4,994,392	11,882,385	7,657,915	5,488,916	13,146,831
	- Airport	192,343	753,938	946,281	215,014	832	215,846
	- Railway Track, tunnels, viaducts, bridges	996,974	-	996,974	-	-	-
	- Electricity (Generation)	2,736,085	14,059,410	16,795,495	25,528,913	1,200,707	26,729,620
	- Oil/Gas/Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) storage facility	2,665,833	2,496	2,668,329	999	-	999
	- Communication	36,368,768	11,385,413	47,754,181	49,838,830	14,535,149	64,373,979
	- Other Infrastructure	1,433,669	11,007,608	12,441,277	6,460,636	7,040,925	13,501,560
20.	Trading & NBFC	66,445,355	11,059,631	77,504,986	55,826,344	8,974,339	64,800,683
21.	Mortgage	131,838,021	-	131,838,021	103,438,169	-	103,438,169
22.	Retail Others	57,148,785	1,328,508	58,477,293	59,022,046	1,328,508	60,350,554
23.	Real Estate	85,878,417	1,059,407	86,937,824	87,294,660	1,014,825	88,309,485
24.	Other Industries	110,777,448	102,608,742	213,386,190	103,813,001	110,961,046	214,774,047
	Total Gross Advances	756,112,836	306,516,486	1,062,629,322	778,385,587	291,946,056	1,070,331,642
	Specific provisions	(85,268,539)	(737)	(85,269,276)	(68,300,103)	(737)	(68,300,840)
	Total Net Advances	670,844,297	306,515,749	977,360,046	710,085,484	291,945,319	1,002,030,802
	Total Inter-bank exposures	24,147,946	-	24,147,946	5,334,512	-	5,334,512
	Total Investments (HTM)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

Fund based exposure comprises loans and advances, inter-bank exposures and HTM Investments. Non-fund based exposure comprises guarantees, acceptances, endorsements and letters of credit.

d) Analysis of residual contractual maturity of assets

As at 30 June 2016

(₹ in 000s)

Maturity Bucket	Cash and Bank balances with RBI	Balances with Banks and money at call and short notice	Investments	Advances	Fixed Assets	Other Assets
1day (d)	2,786,284	50,480,440	123,350,361	40,003,547	-	10,334,115
2d-7d	2,785,753	-	11,512,852	18,645,577	-	4,503,976
8d - 14d	3,344,690	-	17,154,858	22,207,516	-	1,065,961
15d - 30d	2,201,107	155,000	11,264,083	57,435,518	-	6,784,606
31m - 2month (m)	3,287,803	160,000	16,828,802	46,904,022	-	10,360,123
2m - 3month (m)	2,166,898	-	14,650,305	43,434,061	-	19,354,789
3m - 6m	2,530,747	-	15,950,377	70,497,925	-	18,210,293
6m - 1year (y)	4,518,069	-	32,220,859	40,410,029	-	21,650,691
1y - 3y	6,937,232	30,130	66,313,355	134,205,949	-	30,868,712
3y - 5y	24,374	-	14,097,532	61,966,465	-	18,471,815
>5y	223,833	-	1,597,879	135,129,723	14,209,362	56,867,381
Total	30,806,790	50,825,570	324,941,263	670,840,332	14,209,362	198,472,462

As at 30 June 2015

(₹ in 000s)

Maturity Bucket	Cash and Bank balances with RBI	Balances with Banks and money at call and short notice	Investments	Advances	Fixed Assets	Other Assets
1day (d)	3,848,491	59,394,242	109,489,782	12,606,864	-	10,488,001
2d-7d	4,844,869	133,000	26,280,635	51,855,005	-	1,789,879
8d - 14d	4,699,083	92,000	25,489,598	63,653,886	-	858,212
15d - 30d	2,267,250	24,800	12,298,426	51,283,854	-	1,623,976
31m - 2month (m)	3,925,381	245,000	22,558,300	53,474,468	-	10,418,070
2m - 3month (m)	2,491,394	5,000	15,151,706	41,638,175	-	8,855,010
3m - 6m	1,770,669	-	9,604,779	108,323,417	-	15,232,675
6m - 1year (y)	2,376,409	-	18,330,264	44,002,066	-	18,604,925
1y - 3y	8,751,767	34,000	72,389,579	97,747,021	-	30,228,132
3y - 5y	13,739	-	7,287,930	54,019,139	-	16,786,139
>5y	201,952	-	443,026	131,476,138	14,659,790	47,576,316
Total	35,191,004	59,928,042	319,324,025	710,080,033	14,659,790	162,461,335

The above has been prepared on similar guidelines as used for the statement of structural liquidity.

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

e) Details of Non-Performing Advances (NPAs) - Gross and Net

Particulars	(₹ in 000s)	
	30.06.2016	30.06.2015
Sub Standard	40,919,850	12,611,905
Doubtful	35,572,607	25,827,501
- Doubtful 1	10,952,606	13,504,948
- Doubtful 2	19,514,647	11,444,255
- Doubtful 3	5,105,354	878,298
Loss	15,671,978	32,158,355
Gross NPAs	92,164,435	70,597,761
Provisions	(85,268,538)	(68,300,103)
Net NPAs	6,895,897	2,297,658
Cover ratio	92.52%	96.75%

f) NPA Ratios

Particulars	30.06.2016	30.06.2015
Gross NPAs to gross advances	12.19%	9.07%
Net NPAs to net advances	1.03%	0.32%

g) Movement of NPAs

Particulars	30.06.2016		30.06.2015	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
	(₹ in 000s)			
Balance, 1st April	108,355,383	7,147,858	66,563,800	2,306,403
Additions during the period	5,802,324	206,286	5,831,459	37,315
Reductions during the period	(21,993,272)	(458,247)	(1,797,498)	(46,060)
Balance, end of the period	92,164,435	6,895,897	70,597,761	2,297,658

h) Movement of Provisions for NPAs

Particulars	(₹ in 000s)	
	30.06.2016	30.06.2015
Balance, 1st April	101,207,525	64,257,397
Provisions made during the period	5,596,038	64,257,397
Write-off	(19,640,935)	(713,200)
Write-back of excess provisions	(1,894,090)	(1,038,237)
Balance, end of the period	85,268,538	68,300,103

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

General Provisions	(₹ in 000s)	
Particulars	30.06.2016	30.06.2015
Balance, 1st April	5,672,912	5,998,644
Provisions made during the period	-	-
Write-off	-	-
Write-back of excess provisions	(76,295)	(352,484)
Balance, end of the period	5,596,617	5,646,160

Particulars	30.06.2016
Write-off that have been booked directly to the income statement	13,539
Recoveries that have been booked directly to the income statement	(158,568)

i) Movement of Non-Performing Investments and amount of Provisions held for Non-Performing Investments

Particulars	30.06.2016	30.06.2015
Balance, 1st April	2,317,508	2,130,883
Additions during the period	-	-
Reductions during the period	-	(95,001)
Balance, end of the period	2,317,508	2,035,882
Total provisions held at the end of the period	2,203,286	1,921,615

j) Movement of Provisions for Depreciation on Investments

Particulars	30.6.2016	30.6.2015
Balance, 1st April	2,768,067	2,662,721
Provisions made during the period	39,741	595,371
Write-off	(73,088)	(209,151)
Write-back of excess provisions	-	-
Balance, end of the period	2,734,719	3,048,941

k) NPA by Major Industries:

As at 30 June 2016

Industry Name	Gross NPA	Specific provisions	General Provisions	Specific provision during the current period	Write-off during the current period
Top 5 Industries	57,053,953	51,602,153	-	(14,252,046)	14,949,741

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

7. DF 4 - Credit Risk: Disclosures for portfolios subject to the standardised approach

DF 4 - Qualitative Disclosures

As per the provisions of the Basel framework in India, SCBI has adopted the SA for measurement of credit risk. The risk weights applied under the SA are prescribed by the RBI and are based on the asset class to which the exposure is assigned. This approach permits use of external ratings for credit exposures to counterparties in the category of sovereigns, international banks, corporate and securitisation exposures. The specified credit rating agencies used for these types of exposures are as under:

Domestic Credit Rating Agencies	International Credit Rating Agencies
Credit Rating Information Services of India Limited	Standard and Poor's
ICRA Limited	Moody's
India Ratings and Research Private Limited (India Ratings)	
Credit Analysis and Research Limited	
SME Rating Agency of India Limited	
Brickworks Ratings India Pvt. Limited	

Rated facilities have generally been considered as those facilities where the Bank's exposure has been explicitly considered; else, the exposure has been treated by the Bank as unrated. The process used to transfer public issue ratings onto comparable assets in the banking book is in accordance with the requirements laid down by RBI.

DF 4 - Quantitative Disclosures

Analysis of outstanding credit exposures (after considering credit mitigation) and credit risk by regulatory risk weight

As at 30 June 2016

(₹ in 000s)

Nature & category of exposures	Total gross credit exposure	Credit risk mitigation	Net exposure (before provision)	Credit risk weight buckets summary			Deduction from capital
				< 100%	100%	> 100%	
Inter bank exposures	24,147,946	-	24,147,946	24,147,946	-	-	-
Investments (HTM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advances	756,112,836	(29,787,749)	726,325,087	233,846,399	398,772,388	93,706,299	-
Total fund based exposures	780,260,782	(29,787,749)	750,473,033	257,994,345	398,772,388	93,706,299	-
Fx and derivative contracts	402,240,232	-	402,240,232	318,031,644	60,016,952	24,191,636	-
Guarantees, Acceptances, endorsements and other obligations	306,516,486	(3,447,823)	303,068,663	65,812,615	130,059,037	107,197,011	-
Undrawn Commitments and others	54,350,589	-	54,350,589	-	46,478,367	7,872,222	-
Total non fund based exposures	763,107,307	(3,447,823)	759,659,484	383,844,259	236,554,356	139,260,869	-

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

As at 30 June 2015

(₹ in 000s)

Nature & category of exposures	Total gross credit exposure	Credit risk mitigation	Net exposure (before provision)	Credit risk weight buckets summary			Deduction from capital
				< 100%	100%	> 100%	
Inter bank exposures	5,334,512	-	5,334,512	5,334,512	-	-	-
Investments (HTM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advances	778,385,587	(31,020,608)	747,364,979	195,175,364	447,935,166	104,254,450	-
Total fund based exposures	783,720,099	(31,020,608)	752,699,491	200,509,876	447,935,166	104,254,450	-
Fx and derivative contracts	377,617,417	-	377,617,417	267,873,937	102,526,633	7,216,847	-
Guarantees, Acceptances, endorsements and other obligations	291,946,056	(5,541,584)	286,404,472	75,098,643	202,799,540	8,506,289	-
Undrawn Commitments and others	55,496,228	-	55,496,228	1,900,380	52,965,745	630,103	-
Total non fund based exposures	725,059,701	(5,541,584)	719,518,117	344,872,960	358,291,918	16,353,239	-

8. DF 13 - Main Features of Regulatory Capital Instruments

As of 30 June 2016, there were no regulatory capital instruments issued by SCBI.

9. Leverage Ratio

The bank is required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 4.5%. The bank's leverage ratio, calculated in accordance with the RBI guidelines under consolidated framework is 10.85% as of 30 June 2016 (Previous Year: 10.38%).

DF-18 Quantitative disclosures

Leverage ratio common disclosure as of 30 June 2016

(₹ in M)

Sr. No.	Item	30 June 2016		30 June 2015	
		Solo	Consol	Solo	Consol
On-balance sheet exposures					
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives and SFTs, but including collateral)	1,159,091	1,174,783	1,206,162	1,223,400
2	(Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital)	(18,431)	(17,668)	(31,198)	(31,340)
3	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (sum of lines 1 and 2)	1,140,660	1,157,115	1,174,964	1,192,059
On-balance sheet exposures					
4	Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin)	110,863	110,863	102,903	102,903

Risk review and disclosures under Basel III Framework for the period ended 30 June 2016

5	Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions	310,692	310,692	295,416	295,416
6	Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from the balance sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework	-	-	-	-
7	(Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions)	-	-	-	-
8	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures)	(32,966)	(32,966)	-	-
9	Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	-	-	-	-
10	(Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives)	-	-	-	-
11	Total derivative exposures (sum of lines 4 to 10)	388,589	388,589	398,318	398,318
Securities financing transaction exposures					
12	Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions	26,678	26,678	-	-
13	(Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets)	(22,678)	(22,678)	-	-
14	CCR exposure for SFT assets	-	-	-	-
15	Agent transaction exposures	-	-	-	-
16	Total securities financing transaction exposures (sum of lines 12 to 15)	4,000	4,000	-	-
Other off-balance sheet exposures					
17	Off-balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount	1,200,777	1,200,777	403,741	403,961
18	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(786,229)	(786,229)	-	-
19	Off-balance sheet items (sum of lines 17 and 18)	414,548	414,548	403,741	403,961
Capital and total exposures					
20	Tier 1 capital	203,138	213,062	198,586	207,057
21	Total exposures (sum of lines 3, 11, 16 and 19)	1,947,797	1,964,252	1,977,023	1,994,338
Leverage ratio					
22	Basel III leverage ratio	10.43%	10.85%	10.04%	10.38%